

Quantum logic network for probabilistic cloning the quantum states

Ting Gao

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100037, China

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China

Fengli Yan

Department of Physics, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China

CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

Zhixi Wang

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100037, China

(Received 6 November 2003)

We construct efficient quantum logic network for probabilistic cloning the quantum states used in implemented tasks for which cloning provides some enhancement in performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cloning is a type of quantum information processing tool. In 1982 Wootters and Zurek¹ and Dieks² independently discovered that it is impossible to make perfect copies of an unknown quantum state. Since then much effort has been put into developing optimal cloning processes^{3–13}. There are two main approaches to quantum cloning. The first one invented by Bužek and Hillery relies on adding some ancillary quantum system in a known state and unitarily evolving the resulting combined system, deterministically obtaining a pure state with partial mixed density matrices (the clones) that are as close as possible to the original state³. Duan and Guo designed the second kind of cloning procedure which is nondeterministic, consisting in adding an ancilla, performing unitary operations and measurements, with a postselection of the measurement results¹³. The resulting clones are perfect, but the procedure only succeeds with a certain probability $p < 1$, which depends on the particular set of the states that we are trying to clone. Recently, Galvão and Hardy discuss how quantum information distribution implemented with different types of quantum cloning procedures can improve the performance of some quantum computation tasks¹⁴. Unfortunately in the second example they obtained the achievable efficiencies for probabilistic cloning the states by a numerical search. Evidently the numerical result is not an exact solution. Gao et al¹⁵ provided exact achievable efficiencies for probabilistically cloning the quantum states in Ref.14 used in implemented tasks for which cloning provides some enhancement in performance. Clearly the quantum logic network for probabilistic cloning will be important in realizing the cloning scheme in the experiment. Barenco et al showed that all unitary operations on arbitrarily many bits can be decomposed into the combinations of a set of one-bit quantum gates and two-bit Controlled-Not (CNOT) gates¹⁶. In terms of only single-qubit gates, two-qubit CNOT gates, in this paper we present efficient quantum logic network for implementing probabilistic cloning the quantum states in Ref.14.

II. NOTATION

Some notations and symbols used throughout the paper are displayed here.

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

For any unitary operator

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u_{00} & u_{01} \\ u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

define the 6-bit (2^6 -dimensional) controlled operations $\Lambda_1(u)$, $\Lambda_2(u)$, $\Lambda_3(u)$, $\Lambda_4(u)$, $\Lambda_5(u)$, $\Lambda_6(u)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= (u^{x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6}|x_1\rangle)|x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6\rangle, \\ \Lambda_2(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= |x_1\rangle(u^{x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6}|x_2\rangle)|x_3x_4x_5x_6\rangle, \\ \Lambda_3(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= |x_1x_2\rangle(u^{x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6}|x_3\rangle)|x_4x_5x_6\rangle, \\ \Lambda_4(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= |x_1x_2x_3\rangle(u^{x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6}|x_4\rangle)|x_5x_6\rangle, \\ \Lambda_5(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= |x_1x_2x_3x_4\rangle(u^{x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6}|x_5\rangle)|x_6\rangle, \\ \Lambda_6(u)|x_1x_2 \cdots x_6\rangle &= |x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5\rangle u^{x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5}|x_6\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6 \in \{0, 1\}$. Here $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}x_{i_3}x_{i_4}x_{i_5}$ ($i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$) in the exponent of u means the product of the bits $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}, x_{i_5}$. That is, the operator u is applied to one qubit if other 5 qubits are all equal to one, and otherwise, nothing is done. The $2^6 \times 2^6$ matrices corresponding to $\Lambda_1(u)$, $\Lambda_2(u)$, $\Lambda_3(u)$, $\Lambda_4(u)$, $\Lambda_5(u)$, $\Lambda_6(u)$ are

$$\Lambda_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{31} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I_{31} \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_2(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{47} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I_{15} \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_3(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{55} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I_7 \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Lambda_4(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{59} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I_3 \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_5(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{61} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I_1 \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_6(u) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{62} & & \\ & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & I \\ & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

(where I_n denotes $n \times n$ identity matrix and the basis states are lexicographically ordered, i.e., $|000000\rangle, |000001\rangle, |000010\rangle, \dots, |111111\rangle$). $\Lambda_1(X)$, $\Lambda_2(X)$, $\Lambda_3(X)$, $\Lambda_4(X)$, $\Lambda_5(X)$, $\Lambda_6(X)$ map $|x_1x_2 \dots x_6\rangle$ to $|(x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_5 \wedge x_6) \oplus x_1\rangle |x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6\rangle$, $|x_1\rangle |(x_1 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_5 \wedge x_6) \oplus x_2\rangle |x_3x_4x_5x_6\rangle$, $|x_1x_2\rangle |(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_5 \wedge x_6) \oplus x_3\rangle |x_4x_5x_6\rangle$, $|x_1x_2x_3\rangle |(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_5 \wedge x_6) \oplus x_4\rangle |x_5x_6\rangle$, $|x_1x_2x_3x_4\rangle |(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_6) \oplus x_5\rangle |x_6\rangle$, $|x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5\rangle |(\bigwedge_{k=1}^5 x_k) \oplus x_6\rangle$ respectively ($\bigwedge_{k=1}^5 x_{i_k}$ stands for the AND of the Boolean variables x_{i_k}); that is, if 5 qubits are all set to $|1\rangle$ then the other one qubit is flipped, otherwise the other one qubit is left alone.

Similarly, we define the $(n+1)$ -bit (2^{n+1} -dimensional) controlled operation $C^n(u)$ as

$$C^n(u)|x_1x_2 \dots x_n\rangle|y\rangle = |x_1x_2 \dots x_n\rangle u^{x_1x_2 \dots x_n}|y\rangle \quad (6)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that $C^0(u)$ is equated with u . The $2^{(n+1)} \times 2^{(n+1)}$ matrix corresponding to $C^n(u)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ & & & & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

III. QUANTUM LOGIC NETWORK FOR PROBABILISTIC CLONING THE QUANTUM STATES

In Ref.14, the probabilistic cloning quantum states of a system A consisting of two qubits are

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1\rangle &= |h_{0010}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|00\rangle + |01\rangle - |10\rangle + |11\rangle], \\ |h_2\rangle &= |h_{0101}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle], \\ |h_3\rangle &= |h_{1001}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[-|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma(|h_{0010}\rangle)$, $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma(|h_{0101}\rangle)$, $\gamma_3 \equiv \gamma(|h_{1001}\rangle)$ be the achievable efficiencies. In Ref.15 we discussed the maximum of the overall probability (score) of success with the help of probabilistic cloning, defined the flag states and provided exact achievable efficiencies

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{7}, \quad \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \frac{4}{7} \quad (9)$$

for probabilistic cloning the quantum states in Eq.(8).

Next we will give the efficient quantum logic network for probabilistic cloning the quantum states in Eq.(8) used in implemented tasks for which cloning provides some enhancement in performance.

From Eq.(9) of Ref.13 and the above Eq.(9), we know that the unitary operation U of probabilistic cloning the quantum states in Eq.(8) should satisfy

$$\begin{cases} U(|h_1\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|P_0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}|h_1\rangle|h_1\rangle|P^{(1)}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{6}{7}}|\Phi^{(1)}\rangle, \\ U(|h_2\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|P_0\rangle) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}|h_2\rangle|h_2\rangle|P^{(2)}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}|\Phi^{(2)}\rangle, \\ U(|h_3\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|P_0\rangle) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}}|h_3\rangle|h_3\rangle|P^{(3)}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}|\Phi^{(3)}\rangle. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Here $|\Sigma\rangle$ is the input state of an ancillary system B being composed of two qubits; $|P_0\rangle$, $|P^{(1)}\rangle$, $|P^{(2)}\rangle$ and $|P^{(3)}\rangle$ are 4 normalized states of the flag P ; and $|\Phi^{(1)}\rangle$, $|\Phi^{(2)}\rangle$ and $|\Phi^{(3)}\rangle$ are 3 normalized states of the composite system ABP .

By Eqs.(10)-(11) provided by Duan and Guo in Ref.13, we may choose that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi^{(1)}\rangle &= |\Phi_{ABP}^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0000\rangle(|01\rangle + |10\rangle), \\ |\Phi^{(2)}\rangle &= |\Phi_{ABP}^{(2)}\rangle = -|0000\rangle|01\rangle, \\ |\Phi^{(3)}\rangle &= |\Phi_{ABP}^{(3)}\rangle = -|0000\rangle|10\rangle, \\ |P_0\rangle &= |P^{(1)}\rangle = -|P^{(2)}\rangle = -|P^{(3)}\rangle = |00\rangle, \\ |\Sigma\rangle &= |00\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here we emphasize that the flag P must consist of two qubits in order to satisfy the probabilistic cloning condition (see Ref.13). Thus, on the basis $\{|000000\rangle, |000001\rangle, |000010\rangle, \dots, |111111\rangle\}$, it is not difficult to prove that the unitary operation

$$\begin{aligned} U = & P_{53,57}P_{49,53}P_{45,49}P_{37,45}P_{33,41}P_{29,37}P_{25,33}P_{21,33}P_{18,61}P_{17,29}P_{16,57}P_{15,53}P_{14,49}P_{13,25}P_{12,45}P_{11,41}P_{10,37}P_{9,21}P_{8,33} \\ & P_{7,29}P_{6,25}P_{5,21}P_{4,21} \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & I_{46} \end{pmatrix} P_{11,12}P_{1,14}P_{7,15}P_{13,15}P_{4,21}P_{5,21}P_{6,25}P_{7,29}P_{8,33}P_{9,21}P_{10,37}P_{11,41}P_{12,45}P_{13,25} \\ & P_{14,49}P_{15,53}P_{16,57}P_{17,29}P_{18,61}P_{21,33}P_{25,33}P_{29,37}P_{33,41}P_{37,45}P_{45,49}P_{49,53}P_{53,57} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

satisfies Eq.(10). Here

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{2} & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} & 0 & 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}} & \frac{-3}{\sqrt{70}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}}{2} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{5}{14}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{-3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{-3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{42}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{-3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{3}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}}{2} & \frac{-3\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{42}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{7}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}} & \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{70}}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

$P_{i,j}$ denote the following 64×64 the matrix in which the two off-diagonal 1's are in the i,j and j,i positions and all

unspecified entries are 0

$$P_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \text{column } i & & \text{column } j & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ \text{row } i & & & 0 & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ \text{row } j & & & 1 & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

U may be written

$$\begin{aligned}
U = & P_{53,57}P_{49,53}P_{45,49}P_{37,45}P_{33,41}P_{29,37}P_{25,33}P_{21,33}P_{18,61}P_{17,29}P_{16,57}P_{15,53}P_{14,49}P_{13,25}P_{12,45}P_{11,41}P_{10,37}P_{9,21}P_{8,33} \\
& P_{7,29}P_{6,25}P_{5,21}P_{4,21} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{1,8} P_{2,9} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{2,10} P_{3,13} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{3,14} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{4,7} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{5,6} P_{6,17} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{6,18} P_{7,12} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{7,16} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{8,14} P_{9,11} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{9,15} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{9,17} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{10,16} P_{11,14} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{11,18} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \end{pmatrix}_{12,13} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{12,14} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{11}} & -\sqrt{\frac{7}{11}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{7}{11}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{11}} \end{pmatrix}_{12,15} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{11}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{11}{14}} \end{pmatrix}_{12,18} P_{13,15} \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \end{pmatrix}_{13,18} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{\sqrt{205}} & \frac{14}{\sqrt{205}} \\ \frac{14}{\sqrt{205}} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{205}} \end{pmatrix}_{14,15} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{123}{131}} & -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{131}} \\ -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{131}} & -\sqrt{\frac{123}{131}} \end{pmatrix}_{14,16} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{131}{203}} & 6\sqrt{\frac{2}{203}} \\ 6\sqrt{\frac{2}{203}} & -\sqrt{\frac{131}{203}} \end{pmatrix}_{14,17} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{29}{2}}}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{29}{2}}}{4} \end{pmatrix}_{14,18} \begin{pmatrix} -5\sqrt{\frac{131}{5453}} & -33\sqrt{\frac{2}{5453}} \\ -33\sqrt{\frac{2}{5453}} & 5\sqrt{\frac{131}{5453}} \end{pmatrix}_{15,16} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1653}{1703}} & 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{1703}} \\ 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{1703}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1653}{1703}} \end{pmatrix}_{15,17} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{26}{29}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{29}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{29}} & -\sqrt{\frac{26}{29}} \end{pmatrix}_{15,18} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{13}{38}} & -\frac{5}{\sqrt{38}} \\ -\frac{5}{\sqrt{38}} & -\sqrt{\frac{13}{38}} \end{pmatrix}_{16,17} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{\sqrt{13}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{13}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{13}} & -\sqrt{13} \end{pmatrix}_{16,18} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}_{17,18} \\
& P_{11,12}P_{1,14}P_{7,15}P_{13,15}P_{4,21}P_{5,21}P_{6,25}P_{7,29}P_{8,33}P_{9,21}P_{10,37}P_{11,41}P_{12,45}P_{13,25}P_{14,49}P_{15,53}P_{16,57}P_{17,29}P_{18,61}P_{21,33} \\
& P_{25,33}P_{29,37}P_{33,41}P_{37,45}P_{45,49}P_{49,53}P_{53,57},
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the matrices

[illegible]

$X)\Lambda_5(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_2(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_1(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_2(X)(I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I)\Lambda_5(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X)\Lambda_6(X)(I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes X\otimes X)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X)\Lambda_6(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X)\Lambda_1(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_1(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X)\Lambda_6(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X)\Lambda_4(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_1(X)\Lambda_2(X)\Lambda_1(X)(X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_1(X)\Lambda_2(X)\Lambda_1(X)(X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_2(X)(X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_1(X)(X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_2(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_2(X)(I\otimes X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_3(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes X\otimes I\otimes I)\Lambda_4(X)(I\otimes I\otimes X\otimes I\otimes X\otimes X),$
 where

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 v_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{11}} & -\sqrt{\frac{7}{11}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{7}{11}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{11}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{11}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{14}} & -\sqrt{\frac{11}{14}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} & -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 v_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{\sqrt{205}} & \frac{14}{\sqrt{205}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{205}}{14} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{205}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{123}{131}} & -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{131}} \\ -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{131}} & -\sqrt{\frac{123}{131}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{131}{203}} & 6\sqrt{\frac{2}{203}} \\ 6\sqrt{\frac{2}{203}} & \sqrt{\frac{131}{203}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{29}{2}}}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} & -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{29}{2}}}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 v_{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} -5\sqrt{\frac{131}{5453}} & -33\sqrt{\frac{2}{5453}} \\ -33\sqrt{\frac{2}{5453}} & 5\sqrt{\frac{131}{5453}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{14} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{1653}{1703}} & 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{1703}} \\ 5\sqrt{\frac{2}{1703}} & \sqrt{\frac{1653}{1703}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{26}{29}} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{29}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{29}} & -\sqrt{\frac{26}{29}} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 v_{16} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{13}{38}} & -\frac{5}{\sqrt{38}} \\ -\frac{5}{\sqrt{38}} & \sqrt{\frac{13}{38}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{17} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{\sqrt{13}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{13}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{13}} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{13}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{18} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Barenco et al ¹⁶ showed that a set of gates consisting of all one-bit quantum gates (of the form $C^0(u)$) and the two-bit controlled-not (CNOT) gates $C^1(X) = C^1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is universal in the sense that all unitary operations on arbitrarily many bits can be expressed as compositions of these gates. They exhibited a general simulation of $C^n(u)$ for an arbitrary one-bit unitary operation u using only these basic gates. Combining the results obtained by Barenco et al and the above decomposition of U we can give the explicit construction of the unitary operation U using one-qubit gates and two-qubit CNOT gates. For saving space, we do not give an implementation of U in terms of one and two qubit operations and also do not depict out the quantum circuit illustrating the procedure of probabilistic cloning quantum states.

In summary, by means of the primitive operations consisting of single-qubit gates, two-qubit controlled-not gates, we construct an efficient quantum logic network for probabilistic cloning the quantum states used in implemented tasks for which cloning provides some enhancement in performance. We hope that this quantum logic network will be realized by experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10271081 and Hebei Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 101094.

- ¹ W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, "A single quantum cannot be cloned," *Nature* **299**, 802-803 (1982).
- ² D. Dieks, "Communication by electron-paramagnetic-res devices," *Phys. Lett. A* **92**, 271-272 (1982).
- ³ V. Bužek and M. Hillery, "Quantum copying: beyond the no-cloning theorem," *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 1844-1852 (1996).
- ⁴ N. Gisin and S. Massar, "Optimal quantum cloning machines," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2153-2156 (1997).
- ⁵ N. Gisin, "Quantum cloning without signalling," *Phys. Lett. A* **242**, 1-3 (1998).
- ⁶ R. F. Werner, "Optimal cloning of pure states," *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 1827-1832 (1998).
- ⁷ M. Keyl and R. F. Werner, "Optimal cloning of pure states, testing single clones," *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 3283-3299 (1999).
- ⁸ D. Bruß and C. Macchiavello, "Optimal state estimation for d-dimensional quantum systems," *Phys. Lett. A* **253**, 249-251 (1999).
- ⁹ D. Bruß, A. Ekert and C. Macchiavello, "Optimal universal quantum cloning and state estimation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**,

- 2598-2601 (1998).
- ¹⁰ V. Bužek, M. Hillery and R. Bednik, "Controlling the flow of quantum information in quantum cloners: asymmetric cloning," *Acta Phys. Slov.* **48**, 177-184 (1998).
 - ¹¹ Nicolas J. Cerf, "Asymmetric quantum cloning in any dimension," *J. Mod. Opt.* **47**, 187-209 (2000).
 - ¹² V. Bužek, S. Braunstein, M. Hillery and D. Bruß, "Quantum copying: a network," *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 3446-3452 (1997).
 - ¹³ L. M. Duan and G. C. Guo, "Probabilistic cloning and identification of linearly independent quantum states," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4999-5002 (1998).
 - ¹⁴ E. F. Galvão and L. Hardy, "Cloning and quantum computation," *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 022301 (2000).
 - ¹⁵ T. Gao, F. L. Yan and Z. X. Wang, "Exact achievable efficiencies for probabilistically cloning the states," LANL e-print quant-ph/0307186.
 - ¹⁶ A. Barenco, C. H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D. P. DiVincenzo, N. Margolus, P. Shor, T. Sleator, J. A. Smolin, and H. Weinfurter, "Elementary gates for quantum computation," *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 3457-3467 (1995).